

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,915	03/30/2004	James W. Fuller JR.	EI-2-02-001D	3180
7590 01/09/2006			EXAMINER	
Hinman, How	ard and Kattell		NGUYEN, D	ONGHAI D
700 Security M				DAREN MUNADER
80 Exchange Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Binghampton, NY 13902			3729	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

THE

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,915	FULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>'ly 2004</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/4. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
S Potent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to Fig. 1, readable on claims 18 and 19.

Species B, drawn to Fig. 3, readable on claims 20 and 21.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,915 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. During a telephone conversation with Lawrence R. Fraley on January 4, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species A, claims 18 and 10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicants in replying to this Office action. Claims 20 and 21 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --METHOD OF MAKING A CIRCUITIZED SUBSTRATE ASSEMBLY--.

5. The status of the copending application should be updated as following: "2002, and" (preliminary amendment to the specification, page 2, line 5) should be changed to: --2002, now US Patent No. 6,809,269, and--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,915 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,129,142 to Bindra et al.

Regarding claim 18, Bindra et al disclose a method of making a circuitized substrate assembly, said method comprising: providing a first circuitized substrate (11 or 12 See Fig. 4B) including an opening (8) therein; providing a second circuitized substrate (see Fig. 4A) including an opening (8) therein; substantially filling said opening in said second circuitized substrate with a quantity of electrically conductive paste (2); aligning said first and second circuitized substrates such that said opening in said second circuitized substrate having said electrically conductive paste therein aligns with said opening in said first circuitized substrate (See Fig. 4B or related embodiment of Fig. 3D); and bonding said first and second circuitized substrates together such that said electrically conductive paste only partly filled said opening in said first circuitized substrate while also substantially filling said opening in said second circuitized substrate (Col. 5, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 19, Brindra et al disclose bonding the first and second circuitized substrate is achieved by laminating (see Col. 5, line 61).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,915 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior of references cited for their teaching of making a printed circuit board.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

January 4, 2006

MINHTRINH